Document 253

Filed 09/19/2008 Page 1 of 25

Case 3:07-cv-03363-CRB

1	Attached hereto are Plaintiff Roots Ready Ma	ade Garments Co., W.L.L. ("Roots"), and
2	Defendants Gap International Sales, Inc., The Gap, In	nc., Banana Republic, LLC, and Old Navy,
3	LLC (collectively "Gap") proposed verdict farms. 7	The parties have duly met and conferred but
4	have been unable to agree on a joint proposed verdic	t form. According, the parties have attached
5	the following:	
6	1. Attachment A – Plaintiff's Proposed Spe	ecial Verdict Forms
7	2. Attachment B – Defendants' Proposed S	pecial Verdict Form
8	3. Attachment C - Defendants' Proposed S	pecial Verdict Form for Punitive Damages.
9		
10	Dated: September 19, 2008	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
11	By:	/s/ Daralyn Durie
12		DARALYN DURIE Attorneys for Defendants
13		GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., THE GAP, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC,
14		LLC, and OLD NAVY, LLC
. 15	Dated: September 19, 2008	COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
16	,	·
17		
18	Ву:	/s/ Bradley Nash BRADLEY NASH
19		Attorneys for Defendants GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC.,
20		THE GAP, INC., BANANA REPÚBLIĆ, LLC, and OLD NAVY, LLC
21		
22		
23	·	
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
40		
	II	

## EXHIBIT A

	DRAFT		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	RICHARD A. JONES (Bar No. 135248) (rjones@cov.com) COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091  (Additional Counsel on Signature Page) Attorneys for Plaintiff Roots Ready Made Garments Co. W.L.L.		
9 10 11	IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	S DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ROOTS READY MADE GARMENTS CO. W.L.L.,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE GAP, INC., a/k/a, GAP, INC., GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC,  Defendants.	Case No: C 07 3363 CRB  PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS  Date: September 26, 2008 Time: 2:30 p.m. Place: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor Judge: Charles R. Breyer	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiff Roots Ready Made Garments Co. following Proposed Special Verdict Forms.	, W.L.L., ("Roots") hereby submits the	
28	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SP CASE NO.: C 0		

1	BAJI 16.50.2
2	False Promise
3	We, the jury in the above entitled action, find the following special verdict on the
4	following questions submitted to us:
5	Question No. 1: Did the Defendants make a promise as to a material matter?
6	Answer "yes" or "no."
7	
8	Answer:
9	If you answer Question No. 1 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
10 11	No. 1 "yes," then answer Question No. 2.
12	Question No. 2: At the time the Defendants made the promise, did the Defendants intend to
13	perform it?
14	Answer "yes" or "no."
15	Answer:
16	If you answer Question No. 2 "yes," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
17	No. 2 "no," then answer Question No. 3.
18	Question No. 3: Did the Defendants make the promise with an intent to defraud the
19	Plaintiff?
20	Answer "yes" or "no."
21 22	Answer:
23	If you answer Question No. 3 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
24	No. 3 "yes," then answer Question No. 4.
25	Question No. 4: Was the Plaintiff at the time Plaintiff acted aware of the Defendants'
26	intention not to perform the promise?
27	mondon not to perform the promise:
28	-2-
	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS

ı	
1	Answer:
2	If you answer Question No. 4 "yes," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
3	No. 4 "no," then answer Question No. 5.
4	Question No. 5: Did Defendants' concealment or suppression of the fact cause Plaintiff
5	damage?
6	Answer "yes" or "no."
7 8	Answer:
9	
	If you answer Question No. 5 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
10	No. 5 "yes," then answer Question No. 6.
11	Question No. 6: What is the total amount of all damage that was suffered by the Plaintiff and
12	that was caused by the concealment or suppression of the fact?
14	Answer:
15	
16	Dated:
17	Foreperson
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-6-
	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS

### 1 BAJI 16.50 2 **Intentional Misrepresentation** 3 We, the jury in the above entitled action, find the following special verdict on the 4 following questions submitted to us: 5 Question No. 1: Did the Defendants make a representation as to a past or existing material 6 fact? 7 Answer "yes" or "no." 8 9 10 If you answer Question No. 1 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question 11 No. 1 "yes," then answer the next question. 12 Question No. 2: Was the representation false? 13 Answer "yes" or "no." 14 Answer: 15 If you answer Question No. 2 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question 16 17 No. 2 "yes," then answer Questions 3a and 3b. 18 Question No. 3a: Did the Defendants know that the representation was false when they 19 made it? 20 Answer "yes" or "no." 21 Answer: 22 Question No. 3b: Did the Defendants make the representation recklessly without knowing 23 24 whether it was true or false? 25 Answer "yes" or "no." 26 Answer: \_\_\_ 27 28

1	If you answer Questions 3a and 3b "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer
2	either Question No. 3a or 3b "yes," then answer the next question.
3	Question No. 4: Did the Defendants make the representation with an intent to defraud the
4	Plaintiff?
5	Answer "yes" or "no."
7	Answer:
8	If you answer Question No. 4 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
9	No. 4 "yes," then answer the next question.
10	Question No. 5: Was the Plaintiff aware of the falsity of the representation?
11	Answer "yes" or "no."
12	Answer:
13 14	If you answer Question No. 5 "yes," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
15	No. 5 "no," then answer the next question.
16	Question No. 6: Did the Plaintiff act in reliance upon the truth of the representation?
17	Answer "yes" or "no."
18	Answer:
19	If you answer Question No. 6 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	No. 6 "yes," then answer the next question.
22	Question No. 7: Was the Plaintiff justified in relying upon the representation?
23	Answer "yes" or "no."
24	Answer:
25	If you answer Question No. 7 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
26	No. 7 "yes," then answer the next question.
27	
28	- 8 -

1	Question No. 8: Did Defendants' misrepresentation cause Plaintiff damage?
2	Answer "yes" or "no."
3	Answer:
4	If you answer Question No. 8 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
5	No. 8 "yes," then answer the next question.
7	Question No. 9: What is the total amount of all damage that was suffered by the Plaintiff and
8	that was caused by the reliance upon the truth of the representation?
9	Answer:
10	
11	Dated:
12	
13 14	
15	Foreperson
16	
17	
18	
19	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 9 -  PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS
	CASE NO.: C 07 3363 CRB

	·
	·
1	VF-3902
2	Punitive Damages — Entity Defendant
3	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
4	1. Was the conduct constituting malice, oppression, or fraud committed by one or more
5	officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendants acting in a corporate capacity?
6	
7	YesNo
. 8	
9	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here,
10	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
11	
12	2. What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award Roots?
13	
14	grana ta
15	Signed: Presiding Juror
16 17	
18	Dated:
. 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 10 -
	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS  CASE NO - C 07 3363 CPR

1	Quantum Meruit
2	We, the jury in the above entitled action, find the following special verdict on the
3	following questions submitted to us:
4	Question No. 1: Did Gap request, by words or conduct, that Roots perform services for the
5	benefit of Gap?
6	Answer "yes" or "no."
7 8	Answer:
9	If you answer Question No. 1 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
10	No. 1 "yes," then answer Question No. 2.
11	Question No. 2: Did Roots perform the services as requested?
12	
13	Answer "yes" or "no."
14	Answer:
15	If you answer Question No. 2 "no," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
16	No. 2 "yes," then answer Question No. 3.
17	Question No. 3: Did Gap pay Roots for the services rendered?
18	Answer "yes" or "no."
19 20	Answer:
21	If you answer Question No. 3 "yes," sign and return this verdict. If you answer Question
22	No. 3 "no," then answer Question No. 4.
23	Question No. 4: What is the reasonable value of the services rendered by Roots for the
24	benefit of Gap?
25	Answer:
26	Dated:
27	
28	- 11 -

Foreperson

- 12 -

PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS CASE NO.: C 07 3363 CRB

Dated: September 17, 2008 ROBERT P. HANEY **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP** 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018 Telephone: (212) 841-1000 Facsimile: (212) 841-1010 Attorneys for Plaintiff Roots Ready Made Garments Co. W.L.L. - 13 -PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORMS CASE NO.: C 07 3363 CRB

### EXHIBIT B

2 3	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325 DAN JACKSON - #216091 ROSE DARLING - #243893 REBEKAH PUNAK - #248588 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 Attorneys for Defendants THE GAP, INC., a/k/a, GAP, INC., GAP INTE SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AILLC	ND OLD NA	AVY,
10	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVIS	ION
12			
13	ROOTS READY MADE GARMENTS CO. W.L.L.,	Case No.	C 07-03363 CRB
14	Plaintiff,		ANTS' PROPOSED SPECIAL T FORM
15	V.	VEREDIC	
16 17 18	THE GAP, INC., a/k/a, GAP, INC., GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Date: Time: Dept: Judge:	September 26, 2008 2:30 p.m. 8 Honorable Charles R. Breyer
19	Defendants.	Trial Dat	e: October 6, 2008
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSE	D SPECIAL V	ERDICT FORM
	Case No. C	07-03363 CRB	•

1	We the jury answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
2	I. <u>Fraud</u>
3	1) Did Gap promise to make Roots a Gap franchisee if Gap pursued a franchise model in the
4	Middle East?
5	Yes No
6	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, stop here, answer
7	no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the end of this form.
8	2) Did Gap intend to perform this promise when it made it?
9	Yes No
10	If you answered no, go on to the next question. If you answered yes, then skip to
11	question 7.
12	3) Did Gap intend that Roots rely on this promise?
13	Yes No
14	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, then skip to
15	question 7.
16	4) Did Roots reasonably rely on this promise?
17	Yes No
18	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, then skip to
19	question 7.
20	5) Was Roots' reliance on Gap's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Roots?
21	Yes No
22	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, then skip to
23	question 7.
24	6) What amount did Roots reasonably spend in reliance on Gap's promise?
25	
26	
27	
28	
	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

	II. Quantum Meruit/Quasi-Contract
,	To be offered only if the Court determines that Roots can present a claim for quantum neruit or quasi-contract to the jury; for the reasons stated in Gap's trial brief, it should not.
_	Did Gap promise to make Roots a Gap franchisee if Gap pursued a franchise model in th
N	Middle East?
	Yes No
	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, stop here, answer
ľ	to further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the end of this form.
	Did Gap ask Roots to perform services in connection with its promise to make Roots its
f	ranchisee?
	Yes No
	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, stop here, answer
ľ	no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the end of this form.
9	Did Roots perform the services that Gap requested?
	Yes No
	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, stop here, answer
1	to further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the end of this form.
1	0) Did Gap received a benefit from the services that Roots provided?
	Yes No
	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, stop here, answer
n	o further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the end of this form.
1	1) Did Roots reasonably expect to be compensated for the services it provided to Gap?
	Yes No
	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, stop here, answer
n	o further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the end of this form.
12	2) Did Roots perform the services for Gap after June 25, 2005?
	Yes No
	If you answered yes, go on to the next question. If you answered no, stop here, answer
	2
ľ	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

1	no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date the end of this form.
2	13) What is the reasonable value of the services that Roots provided to Gap? In answering
3	this question, remember that the same damages that resulted from these claims can be awarded
4	only once.
5	
6	14) Did Gap act with malice, oppression, or fraud in promising to make Roots a Gap
7	franchisee?
	Yes No
9	
10	Have the presiding juror sign and date this form and provide it to the [clerk/bailiff/marshal].
11	
12	Date: Presiding Juror
13	
14 15	Respectfully Submitted,
16	Dated: September 17, 2008 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
17	By:/s/ Daralyn J. Durie
18	DARALYN J. DURIE Attorneys for Defendants
19	GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., THE GAP, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, and OLD NAVY, LLC
20	,
21	
22	·
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
	Case No. C 07-03363 CRB

# EXHIBIT C

1	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP	· .
2	DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825 CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325 DAN JACKSON - #216091	
3	ROSE DARLING - #243893 REBEKAH PUNAK - #248588	
4	710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704	
5	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
6 7 8	Attorneys for Defendants THE GAP, INC., a/k/a, GAP, INC., GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	ROOTS READY MADE GARMENTS CO. W.L.L.,	Case No. C 07-03363 CRB
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED SPECIAL
15	v.	VERDICT FORM FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES
16	THE CAR INC. of the GAR INC. GAR	Date: September 26, 2008
17	THE GAP, INC., a/k/a, GAP, INC., GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC. LLC. AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Time: 2:30 p.m. Dept: 8
17 18	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC Defendants.	Time: 2:30 p.m. Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8
18 19 20	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21 22	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21 22 23	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, AND OLD NAVY, LLC	Dept: 8 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

1	•	
. 2	I. Punitive Damages	
3	We the jury answer the question submitted to us as follows:	
4	1) What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award Roots?	
5		
6		
7		
8	Have the presiding juror sign and date this form and provide it to the [clerk/bailiff/marshal].	
9		
10		
11	Date:	
12	Presiding Juror	
13		
14		
15	,	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
23		
25	·	
26		
27		
28		
20	•	
425585.01	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES  Case No. C 07-03363 CRB	